

† శ్రీ ఉప్పు. ఎం చెన్నబనప్ప—అగ వైద్యకీయ కేంద్రవను కేళుత్తిద్దిరి, అల్లి బందు వైద్యకీయ సంస్థ అపార్టెంట్ ఇదే ఏన్నాపుడు నకారారద గమనక్క బిందుత్తదే. అదమ్మ బేగు అదన్న పాదలక్క పెరియత్త పాదుత్తనే.

శ్రీ ఉప్పు. తారేగాద—మందిన తింగళాదఱ అల్లి వైద్యకీయ కేంద్రవన్ను ఓపన్ పాదలు నాథ్యపే?

శ్రీ ఉప్పు. ఎం. చెన్నబనప్ప.—యారు బేకాదరాల ఓపన్ పాదించుండు. అస్పత్రీ యున్న తెరయంబేకెంబు నకారారద సకానభంతి ఇరుత్తాడే.

శ్రీ కోలందురు లంగప్ప.—అదమ్మ జాగ్రత్త ఎందరే అదక్క కాల ఏంతి బేడచే? హళ్ళిగాల్లి అన త్రే కట్టడగాన్న కట్టబేకాదరే పూన్ వంజశరాతి ఎల్లపూ ఇరుత్తదే అదరంత కట్టరుత్తారే, ఆద్దరింద ఇల్లి అస్పత్రీయన్న తరేయలు ఏంబింబాదరాల ఏకెందు కేళుత్తనే.

శ్రీ ఉప్పు. ఎం చెన్నబనప్ప.—కట్టడ కట్టరుత్తారే అధవా చురుకలు మనే యున్న తోరినుతురేసో, అదరల్లి అస్పత్రీయన్న పొరంభ పూదలు నాథ్యపే ఎన్నపే దోల్ల పరిశీలనబేకాగుత్తాడే.

Non-Payment of full wages by New BEMCO Engineering Products, Belgaum

156. SRI B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—Will the Minister for Labour pleased to state.

(a) whether it is a fact that there was a settlement between the management and Kamagar Union of New BEMCO Engineering Products of Belgaum in the presence of the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Belgaum and Conciliation Officer on 23rd July 1975.

(b) if so, the reason for violating the settlement by the management of the New BEMCO Engineering.

(c) whether it is fact that the management had deducted illegally some portion of the wages from the regular wage packet of the workers which they were getting as per the settlement.

(d) if whether it is a fact that the workers are accepting the payment of wages under protest in writing from July 1976.

(e) so, the action Government has taken against the management?

SRI C. N. PATIL (Minister for Labour).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The management has been taken stand and the settlement is not binding on them.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes.

(e) Action to prosecute the management has been initiated.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—When settlement is there and the management has taken stand that the settlement is not binding, is not stand illegal and incorrect? What action is proposed to be taken against the management?

SRI C. N. PATIL.—The only course is left prosecution against the management. The settlement is legal. It is not illegal.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—May I know when you have prosecuted the management?

SRI C. N. PATIL.—The Deputy Commissioner, Labour, Belgaum, has been directed by the Commissioner of Labour some two months back to prosecute the said management.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—When the settlement was effected in 1972, you have prosecuted the management only about two months back, is not greatest injustice done to the labour class when it is the policy of the government that they are for the labour and why you have not taken prompt action in this regard?

SRI C. N. PATIL.—The settlement was effected in 1975.

SRI N. B. SIRDESI.—If it is legal, whether government would take steps to enforce the settlement?

SRI C. N. PATIL.—When it is prosecuted, that itself in enforcement.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—Mere prosecution would end in fine or punishment, still one need not enforce the settlement. This being so, why not the Government take action against the management under MISA when it is not yet released? Secondly, you can stop supply of raw materials and electricity.

SRI C. N. PATIL.—We can think in those lines also.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—May I know why the government failed to take action when the emergency was still in force and why you have not sent him to jail under MISA.

SRI C. N. PATIL.—We do not want to take any extra action against the said management.